

MINUTES FOR CIC 10 SEPTEMBER 2013 MEETING

FOLLOW-UP DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Invoicing - Status and update of directions to industry for prime and subcontracting details that will support adequate COR review (John O'Conner the POC was not in attendance at the last meeting (11 June 2013) and this item is to be revisited at this meeting).
 - a. Background: The Government was going to look at various invoices and processes...They also were to provide a checklist they require the CORs to follow when reviewing the invoices.
 - b. Additional Industry Concerns: Excessively-detailed DO reporting requirements. Many CORs are requiring excessively-detailed DO reporting requirements. This level of detailed reporting, which is not stipulated in the basic contract or any modifications to the basic contract, is manpower intensive. Would SSC LANT provide guidance to industry in the way we respond to these requests from the CORs?

RESPONSE: Government posted to e-Commerce. (Action Complete)

2. Contracts Industry Council (SSC-LANT CIC) Charter – Status and discussion regarding the process to adjust the charter, as well as the potential to collaborate with a SPAWAR HQ/Pacific entity similar to the SSC-LANT CIC, called the Procurement Efficiency Working Group (PEWG).

RESPONSE: Government will review and provide comments.

3. Status and update around the Management of Task Orders (TO) Background: With the change in contracting from Single Award IDIQ Contracts to Multiple Award IDIQ Contracts and the need to now track TO expiration dates vice Contract expiration dates, resolution to issues in tracking Task Order expiration dates until a process / system is put in place to more effectively gather this information is being deliberated. Industry requested a status update to the topic.

RESPONSE: Seaport-o (potential) functionality is expected to be implemented by December 2013. Such status should be tracked under Seaport-o.

NEW DISCUSSION ITEMS / CONCERNS

4. Industry requested clarification and a discussion on the present badging process.

RESPONSE: Government took for action to obtain the latest guidelines regarding the SSC LANT Badging Process.

5. Industry requested any form of an “Advanced Planning” document on Seaport-o for upcoming task orders under each pillar contract.

RESPONSE: SSC LANT had already initiated such a document, and reported its goal for published implementation in the 2nd QTR of FY14.

6. SSC LANT is looking for Industry Feedback with respect to the Pillar Contracts in the form of a “Request For Information” submittal due prior to the next SBIOL. The RFI is posted on e-Commerce, where SSC LANT would like to see strong participation from Industry to fully understand the impacts (positive and negative) associated with the Pillars. Please review and submit RFI response as per the instructions posted on the e-Commerce site. Mr Steve Harnig will attend the upcoming AFCEA luncheon to increase industry awareness for this effort, as well.